

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/816,011	03/11/1997	MARK H. PAUSCH	34.421-C2	6532	
	59010/01/2002	<u>-</u>			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER		
			PAK, MICHAEL D		
			1646	27	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	3/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 08/816,011 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Pausch et al.

1646

Office Action Summary

Michael Pak

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address	
Period 1	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	_3	MONTH(S) FROM	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In t	no event, however, m	nay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statutory minimum	of thirty (3	(0) days will be considered timely.	
- If NO I	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS	from the mailing date of this communication.	
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the				
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 8, 200	02		·	
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-39			is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 31,</u>	32, and 36-39	7	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, and 33			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		<u>-</u>	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subjec	t to restriction and/or election requiremen	١t.
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be he	ld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆	approved b) \square disapproved by the Exam	iner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office ac	tion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d in Ap	plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copi	es not i	eceived.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S	.C. § 119(e).	
a) [\square The translation of the foreign language provisiona	al application ha	as been	received.	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			(O-413) Paper No(s).	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	ormal Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🔛 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:			

Application: 09/816,011

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Amendment filed 8 July 2002 (Paper No. 36) has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 8 July 2002 (Paper No. 36) have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 22, 24, 27, 29-30, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Applicants argue that the specification discloses an assay method for identifying compounds that have a substantial utility and therefore defines a real world use. However, the specification does not disclose a compound which selectively inhibits nematode pests. Substantial utility and more experimentation is necessary in order to determine the compound which selectively inhibits nematode pests via the two pore potassium channel disclosed.

Claims 22, 24, 27, 29-30, and 33 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or

Application: 09/8.
Art Unit: 1646

a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not

know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 is ambiguous because of claim limitation drawn to a non-elected SEQ ID NO:1.

Applicants argue that SEQ ID NO:1 was not placed in any of the restricted group. Since SEQ ID NO:1 appear to be the DNA encoding Drosophila channel it belongs to Group VIII.

Claim 24 recite the term "% homology" which is confusing because it is not clear what is a percent homology. One skilled in the art uses the term "% identity."

6. Claims 24, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This a written description rejection.

Claims 24 encompass an isolated nucleic acid encoding variants and fragments of proteins because the claim is drawn to hybridization limitation without functional limitation. Claim 29 and 33 are dependent on claim 24. The reason for the rejection was set forth in the previous office action. The newly amended claims now contain structural limitations which are generic and are

Application: 09/816,011

Art Unit: 1646

acid molecule which encodes a CORK two pore potassium channel of SEQ ID NO:36, and one of skilled in the art cannot envision the full genus of molecules of the claimed variant nucleic acid molecules.

- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application: 09/816,011 Art Unit: 1646

Michael Pak
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1646

25 September 2002

Page 5